IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ERIC LYLE WILLIAMS,	§	
Petitioner,	8	
V.	§	N. 2.20 CV 2020 N
BOBBY LUMPKIN, Director, Texas	§	No. 3:20-CV-3030-N
Department of Criminal Justice,	§	
Correctional Institutions Division,	§	
Respondent.	§ §	

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF MOTION FOR EXPERT FUNDING

The matter before the Court is Williams' unsealed motion, filed August 9, 2021 (ECF no. 54) requesting reconsideration of this court's prior Sealed Order (issued July 27, 2021, ECF no. 52) denying his renewed motion seeking funding for experts on ballistics and cell tower data.

For the reasons discussed at length in this court's Sealed Order issued July 27, 2021 (ECF no. 52), Williams' renewed ex parte motion for expert funding, filed under seal on July 23, 2021 (ECF no. 51), is without arguable. The same is true for Williams' latest funding motion.

As this court has previously explained, the presence or absence of Eric Lyle Williams' cell phone from the location where Williams' capital offense took place at or near the time of that offense is neither exculpatory, mitigating, nor (because no cell phone data was introduced during Williams' capital murder trial) impeaching. The same goes for the cell phone data of "Kim Williams," to which Williams refers on page 4 of his most recent funding motion.

Likewise, during his state habeas corpus proceeding, Williams fully litigated his claim that his trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in failing to adequately challenge the prosecution's ballistics evidence. The state habeas court denied that ineffective assistance claim on the merits.

Case 3:20-cv-03030-N Document 55 Filed 08/10/21 Page 2 of 2 PageID 623

Under the Supreme Court's holding in *Cullen v. Pinholster*, 563 U. S. 170, 181-82 (2011), Williams is not entitled to re-litigate the same claim in this court using new evidence.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. Williams' motion for reconsideration of the denial of his sealed renewed motion for expert funding, filed August 9, 20231 (ECF no. 54), is DENIED.
- 2. The Clerk shall immediately transmit a copy of this Order to the Clerk of the Fifth Circuit, attn: Meg Alverson.

SIGNED August 10, 2021.

DAVID C. GØDBEY

UNITED STATES DISTRIC JUDGE